were used to calculate values of f(v) in the volume range not covered by the experimental data. The values of g(v) calculated from shock wave data, the values of g(v) measured along the atmospheric isobar, and the condition dg/dv > 0 were used to calculate values of g(v) in the volume range not covered by experiment.

The Hugoniot curves centered at -20°C, 25°C, 158.5°C, and 256°C on the atmospheric isobar were calculated directly with the expression $p[f(v) - \frac{1}{2}(v_0 - v)] = g(v_0) - g(v)$ obtained by combining the Hugoniot equation with the (e-p-v) equation of state. The calculated Hugoniot curves were consistent with experimental Hugoniot points up to a pressure of 240 kbar but then started to deviate from them. The isentropes passing through 25°C, 158.5°C, 256°C, and 296°C on the atmospheric isobar were calculated by numerically integrating the differential equation for an isentrope with a Runge-Kutta method; the temperature along these isentropes was calculated with the equation $T = T_i \exp - \int_v^v dv / f(v)$. Calculation of the temperature where the $158.5^{\circ}C$, $256^{\circ}C$, and $296^{\circ}C$ isentropes intersect the 25 °C Hugoniot curve defines values of shock temperature on this Hugoniot curve. The temperature $T_c = 522.1^{\circ}C$ at the point of intersection ($p_c = 58$ kbar, $v_c = 0.661$ cc/g) of the 296°C isentrope and the 25°C Hugoniot is the highest temperature on the 25°C Hugoniot that can be calculated from the data without additional assumptions. To put a possible upper estimate on shock temperature along the 25 °C Hugoniot above 58 kbar, the temperature was calculated by integrating along the Hugoniot curve with constant C. The method of Walsh and Christian was used to calculate temperature along the 25 °C Hugoniot above 0 kbar.

It is obvious from our calculations of the (e-p-v) equation of state that many more experimental Hugoniot (p-v) points are needed to construct an (e-p-v) equation of state without first assuming its functional form. Indeed, to test the feasibility of constructing an equation of state from experimental data it would be necessary to determine, with accuracy, the positions of at least three Hugoniot curves in the (p-v) plane. With well-defined Hugoniot curves it is possible to test the validity of thermodynamic assumptions such as $(\partial e/\partial p)_{u} = f(v)$, and if necessary to

13

fit the data with more complicated functions. However, with a limited amount of Hugoniot data and the positions of the Hugoniot curves not well defined, it is not in general possible to test or to determine any thermodynamic properties conclusively. Specifically, it is not possible to test the validity of $(\partial e/\partial p)_{V} = f(v)$ with our data because the experimental Hugoniot points do not lie on isochores in the (p-v) plane. Many more data points obtained with explosive driver systems producing an improvement in wave flatness and pressure uniformity are required to achieve a more definitive characterization of the (e-p-v) surface.

The temperature calculations in this paper use more thermodynamic data than previous methods of calculating shock temperature. The shock wave Hugoniot data and the atmospheric data for silicone fluid span a larger domain of the (p-v) plane than either the water data used by Rice and Walsh³ or the metals data used by Walsh and Christian. In the domain of the (p-v)plane where it is possible to calculate temperature without making assumptions about specific heat, the present method of calculating temperature is considered to be better than methods based on the assumption of a constant specific heat. The comparison of temperatures on the 25°C Hugoniot curve below 58 kbar calculated using the present method, with those calculated using the Walsh and Christian model based on the constancy of C_v and $(\partial e/\partial p)_v$ suggests that the Walsh and Christian model gives an upper estimate for shock temperature. The comparison of temperatures on this Hugoniot above 58 kbar calculated using the Walsh and Christian method but with different values of C, substantiates this suggestion. However, the difference between the temperatures calculated above 58 kbar resulting from the difference between the numerical values of the constants emphasizes the problem of determining the temperature of shocked liquids. The fact that it is possible with the Walsh and Christian model to calculate different temperatures with a constant value of (de/dp), once again reflects the independence of the (e-p-v) and (T-p-v) equations of state. Because of the identity $(\partial e/\partial p)_{u} = C_{u}/(\partial p/\partial T)_{u}$, the (T-p-v) equation of state is not determined by an (e-p-v) equation of state based on an experimental Hugoniot curve and the assumption of constant $(\partial e/\partial p)_v$. The constancy

14